

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,491	01/10/2001	Aaron A. Mcbride	10559/323001/P9684	9330
20985	7590 09/16/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ò			
Advisory Action	09/758,491	MCBRIDE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monplaisir G Hamilton	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated applications.	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following object	tion(s): <u>1, 7, 13 and 18</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:			÷			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
	•					
·	•					
	•					

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has amended all independent claims to clarify that the second portion includes temporal data. Examiner holds that US 5898836 issued to Freivald discloses the claimed ignoring of temporal data. Freivald col 7, lines 50-55 explicitly discloses a user may select which portions of a document are to be monitored for change detection, particularly the "user may chose to ignore irrelevant changes, such as data changes or access counters which are frequently changed". Furthermore regarding applicant's assertion that "During Freivald's comparison process the entire new signature, i.e. web page is compared with the entire web page is compared with the entire most recent signature. The entire new signature is then compared with the recorded older versions of the signature. Freivald does not disclose dividing a signature into separate portions". Examiner disagrees, Freivald, col 9, line 40-col 10, line 65, essentially discloses dividing a web page into sections, and using an enable bit to specify whether a section of the webpage is to be ignored during the comparison process. Examiner therefore holds that the disclosure of Ho in view of Freivald renders the claimed invention unpatentable..

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100